

# Breakout Session - Topic

## Group1: **CA Policies and attitude towards Hydrogen Technology**

Discussion Leader: David Zilberman

Note Taker: Bert Harvey

Who will represent the group: Bert, Janice

## Discussion Members

Allan Lloyd, Chris Rao, Janice Lin

David, Bert

Others (3+) - Jo to add from invite accepts

1. The intent of the break out session is to have a discussion on the topic of your choice. There are guiding questions to help organize the summary.
2. The group has **45min** for discussion. Suggest leave 10min to summarize.
3. The team's deliverable is a discussion summary to be shared with the group – **10min**
4. Please select who will be your representative.

# Discussion Topic: CA Policies and attitude towards Hydrogen Technology

## What did you learn? Your main takeaways?

To what extent does CA look at decarbonization vs electrification

To what extent is H2 part of the policy

What policy in CA can most benefit advocacy

- Most policy geared toward transportation – CEC and MD point toward buses and trucks and not toward LD transport
- H2 is amazing flexible resource needing better organization – CA at precipice of creating required framework to capture H2 as solution – need project that can scale
- Need to establish the right policy container to establish the right multidiscipline oversight body
- The strength of H2 is that it is a multipurpose solution – needs to broaden outward and we need a roadmap
- Need for seasonal storage ; could be H2 geologic storage – new technologies are being looked at to storage H2 long term; geo storage available from O&G reservoirs
- What is mechanism to compensate H2 – CEC focus and concern in CA is PM, NOx , also impact on low income communities – get big benefit from cleaning up current (HD) emissions
- Need bankable off-taker for hydrogen at scale
- Blue vs green H2: In CA: cost and env benefit + public perception important; leading with green H2 can have benefits – Roger made compelling case for blue H2 – need to strengthen environment case; need to prove reservoirs are viable – ultimately we need both; using H2 as energy carrier
- Benefits that H2 can provide is resiliency = longer duration storage; capacity of subsurface storage for H2 as reserve
- What knowledge do policy makers need – understanding how H2 connects to infrastructure in new ways. Policy makers not fully aware of safety measures in place.

## What surprised you?

- CA does not have a H2 policy; however there is a low carbon policy
- There is no awareness of potential for H2
- Surprised to see CEC pivot toward MDHD sectors
- No real mandate for H2 at CEC –
- Need real projects to build momentum and demonstrate value of H2

| Opportunities   | Barriers  | Potential Solutions   | Next Steps? What's actionable?                    |
|---|---|---|---|
| Urgent action to step up H2 geo storage; pipeline storage is also potentially viable option – RNG standard and how renewable H2 needed to scale | Lack of coordinated regulatory focus – potential to reduce cost / risk  | Education among policy makers<br>How to organize reg structure; general public education; industry stakeholders needs to be more aligned and speak from one voice | Need agency to develop, lead and maintain vision  |
| <b>Electrolytic H2 as storage – bill pending implementation</b>   | Cost and infrastructure / duplication   |   | Need oversight agency to oversee all of the parts |
| Demonstrating O&G reservoirs are viable technically   | Need piloting opportunity to demonstration recovery   |   |   |
| Need MM or even 100's of MM of units to drop cost   | Focus is on MD HD sector  | Need to consider LD sector  |   |
| Expand LCFS for backup generator power units  | Replace diesel / gas generation – small units   |   |   |
| Benefits that H2 can provide is resiliency = longer duration storage; capacity of subsurface storage for H2 as reserve                          | Need leadership from CARB   | There are lots of chemistry solutions that can be combined  |   |
| Consider development of the corridor in the center of CA (Arnav) to bridge transportation and industry  | Absence of rate design; Electric tariff needed to have rate design to take advantage of low-cost electricity to make H2 |   | Need oversight agency to oversee all of the parts |

# Breakout Session Instruction – what’s happening?

1. <Discussion Lead> ask the audience “Are you in the right group? This is group xxx”
2. <Discussion Lead> announce/introduce yourself as the discussion lead; your role is to guide the topic discussion by filling out the template
3. <Shell Note Taker> announce/introduce yourself to do the recording. Share your screen to show the discussion template. Take notes in the template to share the discussion result.
4. Take a snip/screen shot of the attendees
5. Discussion guiding questions:
  - What did you learn? Main takeaways?
  - What surprised you?
  - Then guide the discussion towards filling out the table on opportunities/barriers/solutions/next step
6. Have the group decide who will present the discussion summary to the broader audience. Each group has **10min MAX**

## Day 1

| Topic  | Discussion Lead | Note Taker |
|--|-----------------|------------|
| Group1: CA Policies and attitude towards Hydrogen Technology | David Zilberman | Bert       |
| Group2: The Role and Potential of hydrogen in California     | Tim Olson       | Arnab      |
| Group3: Blue H2 value proposition for California             | John Coates     | Nikunj     |

## Day 2

| Topic   | Discussion Lead | Note Taker |
|---|-----------------|------------|
| Group1: What is the near term opportunities for large scale H2 utilization? | David Zilberman | Nikunj     |
| Goup2: How can policy help to realize this opportunity?                     | Jo Liao         |            |
| Group3: What are the major technical (scientific) challenges?               | Bert Harvey     |            |

# Breakout Session - Topic

Discussion Leader:

Discussion Members

Note Taker:

Who will represent the group:

1. The intent of the break out session is to have a discussion on the topic of your choice. There are guiding questions to help organize the summary.
2. The group has **45min** for discussion. Suggest leave 10min to summarize.
3. The team's deliverable is a discussion summary to be shared with the group – **10min**
4. Please select who will be your representative.

# Notes

- Focus on transportation in California:
  - GHG (40%) and 10% from refining
  - LCFS value has been central to govnt interventions (values CI)
- Does the existing set up spur us in the appropriate direction today
  - Regulatory scheme and market based schemes?
  - Progress on Renewable electricity but include engagement of refining sector and RNG
- Main Challenges
  - Set appropriate incentives to take advantage of multiple value streams of hydrogen
  - Hydrogen delivery infrastructure that enables sector coupling vs current model (government interventions for H2 transport vs others)
  - Generation opportunity spaces—Green vs Blue

# POLA

- Port of LA: high demand utilisation, specific challenges for POLA (on footprint, duty cycles, integrated opportunity)
  - Decarbonisation of the Port== Long term vision is leaning heavily on H2
  - POLA by themselves in not sufficient condition to build the need
  - Local pressures on AQ: immediate HHC pollutant issues, particularly from Tailpipe. 17,000 class 8 trucks—real issues
  - Should we encourage NG transition or go to H2?? Is there a staircase or is it a trade off
  - 2035: all trucks need to be zero emission—H2 is the best pathway based on duty cycle.

# CEC commentary

- Innovative financing in order to scale up; private capital incentivisation for MD and HD sectors
- Co-ordination with CPUC and CARB: inclusion of investment in transit
- Combination of grants, planning support, loans etc for Capital projects



# Fuel

- Instate California fuel production or do we make it in Texas
- Can we do green hydrogen production in this state?
- We can build here and add the fuel play for green H<sub>2</sub>—based on policy incentive
- Barriers to blue hydrogen, inc does imported H<sub>2</sub> account for LCFS
- Market mechanisms through the commodity market
  - CPUC as a regulatory function—will it be fast enough
  - Overall co-ordination gap
  - Capacity credits for HD and MD
  - ARB convening a work group to create the overarching guidance.



# Deep Decarb pathway project

- How would Ca get to SB100? Methanation etc??
- LADWP (100% targets): what is the last 10%? What is the system cost if you can build up the transport/heat conversation
  - Timing: one solution today that is far from the ideal end state
- Progress on Power2Gas as a pathway to reduce cost/improve LCA for hydrogen
  - On-road applications for LD—huge efficiency benefits vs HD which is already quite efficient vis Diesel cycle. This is recognised in LCFS via CI and EER pathways
  - Less so for heating applications as part of a 10-20% blend (HHV)
  - How can we scale up quickly: few and bigger build, such as 100k cars or home heating systems vs 1 truck fleet or Port facility. (H2 hub model).
    - How do we jump to the right scale? What do we need?
    - Ports continue to come up as key economic, productivity, labor, LAQ and CO2 drivers

# Discussion Topic

**What did you learn? Your main takeaways?**

**What surprised you?**



| Opportunities | Barriers | Potential Solutions | Next Steps? What's actionable? |
|---------------|----------|---------------------|--------------------------------|
|               |          |                     |                                |
|               |          |                     |                                |
|               |          |                     |                                |
|               |          |                     |                                |
|               |          |                     |                                |
|               |          |                     |                                |
|               |          |                     |                                |

# Breakout Session Instruction – what’s happening?

1. <Discussion Lead> ask the audience “Are you in the right group? This is group xxx”
2. <Discussion Lead> announce/introduce yourself as the discussion lead; your role is to guide the topic discussion by filling out the template
3. <Shell Note Taker> announce/introduce yourself to do the recording. Share your screen to show the discussion template. Take notes in the template to share the discussion result.
4. Take a snip/screen shot of the attendees
5. Discussion guiding questions:
  - What did you learn? Main takeaways?
  - What surprised you?
  - Then guide the discussion towards filling out the table on opportunities/barriers/solutions/next step
6. Have the group decide who will present the discussion summary to the broader audience. Each group has **10min MAX**

## Day 1

| Topic  | Discussion Lead | Note Taker |
|--|-----------------|------------|
| Group1: CA Policies and attitude towards Hydrogen Technology | David Zilberman | Bert       |
| Group2: The Role and Potential of hydrogen in California     | Tim Olson       | Arnab      |
| Group3: Blue H2 value proposition for California             | John Coates     | Nikunj     |

## Day 2

| Topic   | Discussion Lead | Note Taker |
|---|-----------------|------------|
| Group1: What is the near term opportunities for large scale H2 utilization? | David Zilberman | Nikunj     |
| Goup2: How can policy help to realize this opportunity?                     | Jo Liao         |            |
| Group3: What are the major technical (scientific) challenges?               | Bert Harvey     |            |

# Breakout Session – Blue H2 in California

Discussion Leader: John Coates

Note Taker: Nikunj Gupta

Who will represent the group: George  
Aines

Discussion Members

Michael, Abhishek, Joe,  
Ajay, more John to add

1. The intent of the break out session is to have a discussion on the topic of your choice. There are guiding questions to help organize the summary.
2. The group has **45min** for discussion. Suggest leave 10min to summarize.
3. The team's deliverable is a discussion summary to be shared with the group – **10min**
4. Please select who will be your representative.

# Blue H2 value proposition for California

## What did you learn? Your main takeaways?

- Integration of usage of Hydrogen across different sectors
- Carbon storage from Roger was very helpful
- Importance of scale-up for cost reduction? Vehicle manufacture to adopt technology
- Ports are incredibly important to focus

## What surprised you?

- LCFS only applies to transport fuels (applies to aviation also but not industry)
- Stickiness of LCFS, \$200/ t for the long haul?
- What about methane Pyrolysis
- LD (passenger cars) still a play for Hydrogen? – Pick up trucks still a good potential market for H2
- 2/3<sup>rd</sup> of LCFS is going out of state

| Opportunities  | Barriers   | Potential Solutions   | Next Steps? What's actionable?   |
|--|--|---|--|
| LCFS upgrade   | Does not cover other sectors than transport<br>Limited options<br>Bioenergy CCS is not applicable<br>SMR to CCS?                   | PUC to participate  | Decarbonization partnership, permitting in the state already happening<br>Streamlining the permitting, traceability<br>Industry single POC<br>Cap & trade and focus on 45Q |
| Blue H2 export from TX to California                             | Transport, Import at Golden gate for Ship<br>Equivalency of jurisdiction reqs in 2 states  | Existing pipeline repurpose<br>Permitting                             | Monitor South Dakota Ethanol mfr activity<br>Engage with regulators,<br>Federal permit for class 6 well  |
| Transition plan from transport to other industry                 | There is no LCFS like support but 45Q – can it be decomplexified?<br>Not much industry in cal, mostly transport & power, SoCal gas | Optimizing 45 Q<br>Fertilizer Industry in California?                 | Incentives for local low CI fertilizer industry  |
| Hydrogen distribution (short & long distance) is a key challenge | Proximity to CCS<br>Pipelines non existing   | Repurpose existing pipeline/admixing and extraction (electrochemical) |  |
| Methane pyrolysis  | Not much experience  | What about LA?<br>Ship long distance C in use as prod                 |  |
| Small scale SMR (<1 ton/day onsite)                              |  | Running with ren electricity (20% reduction), enter the LCFS regime   |  |